

Hyphenation on Demand*

Petr Sojka
Faculty of Informatics
Masaryk University Brno
Botanická 68a, 602 00 Brno
Czech Republic
sojka@informatics.muni.cz

Abstract

The need to fully automate the batch typesetting process increases with the use of \TeX as the engine for high-volume and on the fly typeset documents which, in turn, leads to the need for programmable hyphenation and line-breaking of the highest quality.

An overview of approaches for building *custom* hyphenation patterns is provided, along with examples. A methodology of the process is given, combining different approaches: one based on morphology and hand-made patterns, and one based on word-lists and the program PATGEN. The method aims at modular, easily maintainable, efficient, and portable hyphenation. The bag of tricks used in the process to develop custom hyphenation is described.

Motivation

In principle, whether to hyphenate or not is a style question and CSS should develop properties to control hyphenation. In practice, however, for most languages there is no algorithm or dictionary that gives all (and only) correct word breaks, so some help from the author may occasionally be needed.
— (Bos, 1999)

Separation of content and presentation in today's open information management style in the sense of SGML/XML (Goldfarb and Rubinsky, 1990; Megginson, 1998) is a challenge for \TeX as a batch typesetting tool. The attempts to bring \TeX 's engine to untangle presentation problems in the WWW arena are numerous (Sutor and Díaz, 1998; Skoupý, 1998).

One bottleneck in the high-volume quality publishing is the proofreading stage — line-breaking and hyphenation handling that needs to be fine tuned to the layout of particular publication. Tight deadlines in paper-based document production and high-volume electronic publishing put additional demands for better automation of typesetting process. The need for multiple presentation of the same data (e.g. for paper and screen) adds another dimension to the problem. Problems with hyphenation are often those of the most difficult ones. As most \TeX users are perfectionists, fixing and tuning hyphenation for every presentation is tedious time consuming task.

* [This article has not yet been edited. – Ed.]

We dealt with couple of issues related to the hyphenation in \TeX (Sojka and Ševeček, 1995; Sojka, 1995). Based on being involved in the typesetting tens of thousands of \TeX pages of multilingual documents (mostly dictionaries), we want to point out several methods suitable for the development of hyphenation patterns.

Pattern generation

There is no place in the world that is linguistically homogeneous, despite the claims of the nationalists around the world.
— (Plaice, 1998)

Liang in his thesis (Liang, 1983) under Knuth's supervision developed a general method to solve the hyphenation problem that has been adopted in \TeX 82 (Knuth, 1986b, Appendix H). He wrote the program PATGEN (Liang and Breitenlohner, 1999) that takes

- a set of patterns (if any) that describes “rules”, and/or
- a list of already hyphenated words (if any),
- a list of parameters for the pattern generation process,
- a character code translation file (added in PATGEN 2.1, for details see (Haralambous, 1994)),

and generates

- an enriched set of patterns that “covers” all hyphenation points in the given input word-list,
- a word-list hyphenated with the enriched set of patterns (optional).

The patterns are loaded into T_EX’s memory and stored in a data structure [cf. (Knuth, 1986a, parts 40–43)] also efficient for retrieval — variant of *trie* memory [cf. (Knuth, 1998, pp. 492–512)]. This data structure allows hyphenation pattern searching in linear time with respect to the pattern length. The algorithm using a trie that “outputs” possible hyphenation positions may be viewed as finite automaton with output (Mealy automaton or transducer).

Pattern development

... problems [with hyphenation] have more or less disappeared, and I've learnt that this is only because, nowadays, every hyphenation in the newspaper is manually checked by human proof-readers.
— (Jarnefors, 1995)

Studying patterns that are available for various languages shows that PATGEN has only been used for about half of the hyphenation pattern files on CTAN [cf. Table 1 in (Sojka and Ševčec, 1995)].

There are two approaches to hyphenation pattern development according to the user preferences. Single author using T_EX as an authoring tools want to minimize system changes and wants T_EX behave as fixed point so that retypesetting of old articles is easily done due to backward compatibility. For these user preferences one set of patterns that is fixed once and for life’s length might be sufficient.

On the other hand, for publishers/corporate users with high-volume output, it is more efficient to make long term investment into development of hyphenation patterns for particular purposes. I remember one T_EX user saying that my suggestion to enhance standard hyphenation patterns by custom made ones to allow better hyphenation of chemical formulæ will save his employer thousands of pounds in a year. With this approach, one has of course to archive for every publication *full* sources together with hyphenation patterns and exceptions.

One of the possible reasons why PATGEN has not been used more extensively may be high investment to create hyphenated list of words, or better, morphological database of given language.

Pattern bootstrapping and iterative development

*The road to wisdom?
Well it's plain and simple to express:
Err and err and err again
but less and less and less.*
— Piet Hein

When developing new patterns to save boring work of manually marking hyphenation points in huge lists of words, it is good to start by the following bootstrapping technique with iteration:

1. write down the most obvious initial patterns, if any,
 2. extract a small word-list for the given language,
 3. hyphenate the word-list from step 2 with the initial patterns of step 1 and/or with “the most closest” ones (e.g. consonant-vowel rules),
 4. check all hyphenated words and correct them,
 5. collect a bigger word-list,
 6. use the previously generated set of patterns to hyphenate the words in this bigger list,
 7. check hyphenated words, and if there are no errors, continue by step 9,
 8. correct word-list and continue by step 6,
 9. generate final patterns with PATGEN with parameters fitted for particular purpose,
 10. merge/combine new patterns with other modules of patterns to fit particular publishing project.
- To find an initial set of patterns some basic rules of hyphenation in given language should be known. Two basic categories of languages are known — languages that derive hyphenation points according to etymology of words, and languages that derive hyphenation with respect to syllables.
- In the first case, one should start with patterns for most frequent endings and suffixes and prefixes. For languages with “syllable-close” hyphenation patterns based on sequences of consonants and vowels might be used [cf. (Anonymous, 1993; Haralambous, 1999)]. As using T_EX itself for hyphenation of word-list and development of patterns may be preferred to other possibilities, we will

start with this portable solution shown on an example of syllable-close” language (e.g. phonetic hyphenation). Let’s start with plain \TeX code like:

```
% ... loading plain.tex without patterns
\patterns{cv1cv cv2c1c ccv1c
cccv1c ccccv1c ccccv1c
v2v1 v2v2v1 v2v2v2v1
...
}
```

There is a way how to typeset word together with hyphenation points in \TeX ; the code from (Olšák, 1997) with minor modifications look like:

```
\def\showhyphenspar{\begingroup
\overfullrule=0pt \parindent0pt
\hbadness=10000 \tt
\def\par{\setparams\endgraf\composelines}%
\setbox0=\vbox\bgroup
\noindent\hskip0pt\relax}

\def\setparams{\leftskip=0pt
\rightskip=0pt plus 1fil
\linepenalty1000 \pretolerance=-1
\hyphenpenalty=-10000}

\def\composelines{%
\global\setbox1=\hbox{}}%
\loop
\setbox0=\lastbox \unskip \unpenalty
\ifhbox0 %
\global\setbox1=\hbox{%
\unhbox0\unskip\hskip0pt\unhbox1}}%
\repeat
\egroup % close \setbox0=\vbox
\exhyphenpenalty=10000%
\emergencystretch=4em%
\unhbox1\endgraf
\endgroup}
```

Now, we will typeset our word-list in typewriter font without ligatures. To use the CV patterns defined above we need to map word characters properly:

```
% vowels mapping
\lccode‘\a=‘v \lccode‘\e=‘v
\lccode‘\i=‘v \lccode‘\o=‘v
...
% consonants
\lccode‘\b=‘c \lccode‘\c=‘c
\lccode‘\d=‘c \lccode‘\f=‘c
...

\raggedbottom \nopagenumbers
\showhyphenspar
```

The need to fully automate the batch typesetting process increases with the use of word in wordlist

```
...
\par
\bye
```

Finally, extracting hyphenated words from dvi file by `dvitype` program, we get our word-list hyphenated by our simple CV-patterns.

Another approach how to get initial word-list hyphenated are possible, too; we may use `PATGEN` with initial patterns and no new level but let `PATGEN` hyphenate input word-list. PERL addicts may use the PERL hyphenation module (Pazdziora, 1997) for the task.

Once task of proofreading word-list is finished, we may generate new patterns and collect another words of the language. Using new patterns on the new collection will show the efficiency of the process.

Fine tuning of patterns may be iterated, once `PATGEN` parameters are set so that nearly 100% coverage of hyphenation points is achieved in every iteration. The setting of such `PATGEN` parameters may be difficult to find on the first trial. Setting of these parameters is discussed in (Sojka and Ševeček, 1995).

Modularity of patterns

It is tracktable for some languages to create patterns by hand, simply by writing patterns according to the rules for a given language. This approach is, however, doomed to failure for complex languages with several levels of exceptions. Nevertheless, there are special cases, in which we may build pattern modules and concatenate patterns to achieve special purpose behaviour. This applies especially when additional characters (not handled when patterns have been built originally) may occur in words that we still want to hyphenate.

As an example may serve patterns generated by (Raichle, 1997), that can be used with any T1 encoded fonts to allow hyphenation after an explicit hyphen. Similar pattern modules can be written for word or chemical formulas that contain braces and parenthesis. These can be combined with “standard” patterns in the needed encodings. Some problems might be caused by the fact that \TeX doesn’t allow to define metrics for `\lefthyphenmin` and `\righthyphenmin` properly—we might want to say that e.g. ligature count as a single letter only or that some characters should be counted as zero (inword braces). We need to wait until some naming mechanisms for output glyphs (characters)

will be adopted in T_EX community for handling these issues.

Adding new primitive for hyphenmin code — let's say `\hccode` similarly to `\lccode` would cause similar problems: changing it in midst paragraph would have unpredictable results.¹

It is advised to create modules/library of special purpose hyphenation patterns like the ones mentioned above to ease the task of pattern development. These patterns might be written to be easily adaptable to be used with core patterns for a given language.

Common patterns for more languages

Having large hyphenated word-lists of several languages there is open possibility to make multilingual or special purpose patterns from collections of words by PATGEN. Joining word-lists and generating patterns on demand for particular publication is especially useful when the word databases are structured and splitted into sublists of personal names, geographical names, abbreviations, etc. These patterns are requested when typesetting material in which language switching isn't properly done (e.g. on the WWW).

Czech and Slovak are very close languages. Although they don't share exactly the same alphabet, rules for hyphenation are similar. That led us to the experiment of making one set of hyphenation patterns that will work for both languages saving on space in format file that supports both. In the Czech/Slovak standard T_EX distribution there is support for different font encodings. For every encoding hyphenation patterns have to be loaded, as there is no character remapping on the level of trie possible. Such Czechoslovak patterns would save patterns for every encoding in use.

Preliminary results showed feasibility of this approach without resorting to compromises and we hope to release first version of the patterns at the time of TUG'99 conference.

It should be mentioned that this approach cannot be taken for any set of languages as there may be, in general, same words that hyphenate differently in different languages and thus simply merging word-lists to feed PATGEN is not sufficient without degrading the performance of patterns by forbidding hyphenation in these conflicting words..

¹ ϵ -T_EX V2 has a new feature to fix the `\lccode` values during the pattern read phase.

Phonetic hyphenation

As an example of custom made hyphenation patterns may serve patterns to be used to hyphenate a phonetic (IPA) transcription. Publications like dictionaries use it extensively — see Figure 1.

akkompagnement *sb* [ækʌmpænjə-maŋ] *-et, -er* hudební doprovod *m*
alimentationsbidrag *sb* [ælimen-tæ-šo:ˈnsbiːdra:ˈw] *-et, -* alimenty *pl*,
 příspěvek *m* na výživné dítě
befolknings||**eksplosion** *sb* [befʌlˈg-neŋs-] *-en, -er* populační exploze *f*
 ■ **-tilvækst** *-en, -er* přírůstek *m*
 obyvatelstva ■ **-tæthed** *-en, -er*
 hustota *f* obyvatelstva
bemærkelsesværdig *adj* [bemær-gəlsəsˌvæɾˈdi] *-t, -e* pozoruhodný
beslutningsdygtig *adj* [beslud-neŋsˌdøɡdi] *-t, -e* schopný
 rozhodovat; **den lovgivende for-samling** *var* ~ zákonodárné shromáždění bylo schopné se usnášet

Figure 1: Example of use of phonetic hyphenation in (Kirsteinová, in preparation).

The steps used to develop these hyphenation patterns for this dictionary were similar to those described in Section ?!?:

1. write down the most obvious (syllble) patterns,
2. extract all phonetic words from available texts,
3. hyphenate this word-list with the initial set of patterns,
4. check and correct all hyphenated words,
5. generate final quality patterns.

In a bigger publishing projects efforts like this pay back really soon.

Hyphenation for etymological dictionary

In some publications — like (Rejzek, in preparation) — one can face another problem: the possible number of different characters that were used in words of languages used in one paragraph exceeded 256. This problem cannot in general be easily solved² within the frame of T_EX82. We thus tried Ω

² One might try hard to reencode all fonts used in parallel in some paragraph such that they share the same `\lccode`

typesetting system (Plaice, 1994; Haralambous and Plaice, 1994; Plaice and Haralambous, 1996; Haralambous, 1996; Haralambous and Plaice, 1998) for this purpose. One had to create special virtual fonts (e.g. by using the fontinst package) upon Ω ones to typeset it — see Fig. 2.

naivní ‘prostoduchý, dětinský’, *nai-vita*, *naivka*. Přes něm. *naiv* z fr. *nai:f* tv., původně ‘přirozený, opravdový’ z lat. *nātivus* ‘přirozený’ od *nātus*, což je příč. trp. od *nāscī* ‘rodit se’. Srov. ↑*nacionále*.
náruživý ‘vášnivý, silně zaujatý’, *náruživost*. Jen č. Souvisí s č.st. *oruží* ‘zbroj, zbraň, náčiní’ (všesl.). Psl. kořen **-rōg-* (B1, B7) nejspíš souvisí s lit. *įrangùs* ‘prudký’ (HK), *rángtis* ‘spěchat’, *ren~gtis* ‘chystat se’, *rangà* ‘přístroj, nástroj’, dále asi se střhn. *ranc* ‘rychlý, vířivý pohyb’, něm. *renken* ‘pohybovat se krouživě sem tam’, angl. *wrench* ‘trhnout, vykrotit’, vše by to bylo od ie. **ǔreng-* ‘krotit, ohýbat’ a vzdáleně příbuzné by bylo ↓*vrhat*.
nebozez ‘vrták na dřevo’, *nebozítek*. Hl. *njeboz*, sln. *nabôzec*. Přejato z germ., forma by ukazovala až na germ. **naba-gaiza* před změnou *-z->-r-* (A5, B1), která už je provedena v sthn. *nabagēr* (něm. *Näber* tv.). První část germ. slova odpovídá něm. *Nabe* (viz ↑*náboj*), druhá něm.st. *Ger* z gót. **gaiza-* ‘něco špičaté-ho’.

Figure 2: Using Ω to typeset paragraphs in which words from languages with more than 256 different characters may appear and be hyphenated in parallel.

More hyphenation classes

But at least I can point out a minor weakness of TeX’s algorithm: all possible hyphenations have the same penalty. This might be ok for english, but for languages like German that have a lot of composite words there should be the ability to assign lower penalties between parts of a composite i.e. Um-brechen should be favored against Umbre-chen.
 — (Hars, 1999)

mappings, but this exercise has to be made for every heavily multilingual publication again and again.

Couple of suggestions how to handle multiple hyphenation classes were suggested in (Sojka, 1995). Prototype implementation of ε -TeX and PATGEN has recently been implemented (Classen, 1998). For wider adoption of such improvements availability of big word-lists is crucial. Many methods mentioned above might be used for development of these multiclass/multipurpose patterns. Publication of OUP (Allen, 1990) with such word-lists shows that some publishers pays attention to these details of linebreaking.

Speed considerations

Even though hyphenation searching using a trie data structure is fast, searching for unnecessary hyphenation points is a waste of time. It is advisable to tell TeX where words shouldn’t be hyphenated. Comparing several possibilities to suppress hyphenation, the way by setting `\lefthyphenmin` to 65 is slightly faster than switching to `\language` with no patterns. These solutions outperforms the `\hyphenpenalty 10000` solution by a fair amount [cf. (Arsenau, 1994)].

Sometimes we need the same patterns with different `\lefthyphenmin` and `\righthyphenmin` parameters. The suggested approach is not to limit hyphens close to word boundaries during the pattern generation phase, but by using TeX’s `\setlanguage` primitive. This can be used to achieve special hyphenation handling for the last word in a paragraph (e.g. higher `\righthyphenmin`) given proper markup by a preprocessing filter. The reader may try:

```
\newcount\tmpcount
\def\lastwordinpar#1{%
\tmpcount=\righthyphenmin
\righthyphenmin5
\setlanguage\language #1
\expandafter\righthyphenmin\the\tmpcount
\setlanguage\language}

\showhyphens{demand}
\lastwordinpar{demand\showhyphens{demand}}
\bye
```

Future work

If you find that you’re spending almost all your time on practice, start turning some attention to theoretical things; it will improve your practice.
 — (Knuth, 1989)

It seems inevitable that linking to language specific support modules will be necessary for The typesetting system in the future. These demands may not

only apply for hyphenation, but for spelling or even grammar checker. As even people using WYSIWYG systems may use tools that help to visualise possible typos (in color etc.) on the fly, computing power of today's computers is surely sufficient to do the same in batch processing with even better results.

The idea of using patterns to capture mappings specific for particular languages or dialect modules can be further generalized for different purposes/mappings. The use of the theory of finite-state transducers (Mohri, 1996; Mohri, 1997; Roche and Schabes, 1996) looks promising to implement another class of language modules.

Summary

We have outlined possibilities offered by T_EX and PATGEN for the development of customized hyphenation patterns. We have suggested bootstrapping and iterative techniques to pattern development. We suggest wider employment of PATGEN and preparation of hyphenated word-lists and modules of patterns for easy preparation of hyphenation patterns on demand in today's age of digital typography (Knuth, 1999).

Acknowledgement

We thank Bernd raichle for valuable comments to the paper. The presentation of this work has been made possible due to the support of MŠMT ČR grant VS97028.

References

- Allen, R. E. *The Oxford Spelling Dictionary*, volume II of *The Oxford Library of English Usage*. Oxford University Press, 1990. 1089
- Anonymous. *The Chicago Manual of Style*. University of Chicago Press, fourteenth edition edition, 1993. 1086
- Arsenau, Donald. "Benchmarking paragraphs without hyphenation". Posting to the Usenet group `news:comp.text.tex` on Dec 13, 1994. 1089
- Bos, Bert. "Internationalization / Localization". <http://www.w3.org/International/0-HTML-hyphenation.html>, 1999. 1085
- Classen, Matthias. "An extension of T_EX's hyphenation algorithm". <ftp://peano.mathematik.uni-freiburg.de/pub/etex/hyphenation/>, 1998. 1089
- Goldfarb, Charles F. and Y. Rubinsky. *The SGML handbook*. Clarendon Press, Oxford, UK, 1990. 1085
- Haralambous, Yannis. "A Small Tutorial on the Multilingual Features of PATGEN2". in electronic form, available from CTAN as `info/patgen2.tutorial`, 1994. 1085
- Haralambous, Yannis. "ΩTimes and ΩHelvetica fonts under development: Step One". *TUGboat* 17(2), 126–146, 1996. 1089
- Haralambous, Yannis. "From Unicode to Typography, a Case Study: the Greek Script". Proceedings of 14th International Unicode Conference, preprint available from <http://genepi.louis-jean.com/omega/boston99.pdf>, 1999. 1086
- Haralambous, Yannis and J. Plaice. "First applications of Ω: Adobe Poetica, Arabic, Greek, Khmer". *TUGboat* 15(3), 344–352, 1994. 1089
- Haralambous, Yannis and J. Plaice. "The Design and Use of a Multiple-Alphabet Font with Ω". In *Lecture Notes in Computer Science 1375*, edited by Roger D. Hersch and Jacques André and Heather Brown, pages 126–137. Springer-Verlag, 1998. 1089
- Hars, Florian. "Typo-l email discussion list". 1999. 1089
- Jarnefors, Olle. "ISO-10646 email discussion list". 1995. 1086
- Kirsteinová, B. *Dánsko-český slovník (Danish-Czech dictionary)*. LEDA, Prague, Czech Republic, in preparation. 1088
- Knuth, Donald E. *The T_EXbook*, volume A of *Computers and Typesetting*. Addison-Wesley, Reading, MA, USA, 1986b. 1085
- Knuth, Donald E. *T_EX: The Program*, volume B of *Computers and Typesetting*. Addison-Wesley, Reading, MA, USA, 1986a. 1086
- Knuth, Donald Ervin. "Theory and Practice". keynote address for the 11th World Computer Congress (Information Processing '89), 1989. 1089

- Knuth, Donald Ervin. *Sorting and Searching*, volume 3 of *The Art of Computer Programming*. Addison-Wesley, 1998. 1086
- Knuth, Donald Ervin. *Digital Typography*. CSLI Lecture Notes 78. Center for the Study of Language and Information, Stanford, California, 1999. 1090
- Liang, Frank and P. Breitenlohner. “PATtern GENeration Program for the $\text{T}_{\text{E}}\text{X}82$ Hyphenator”. Electronic documentation of PATGEN program version 2.3 from web2c distribution on CTAN, 1999. 1085
- Liang, Franklin Mark. *Word Hy-phen-a-tion by Com-put-er*. Ph.D. thesis, Department of Computer Science, Stanford University, 1983. 1085
- Megginson, David. *Structuring XML Documents*. Prentice-Hall, Inc., Englewood Cliffs, New Jersey, 1998. 1085
- Mohri, Mehryar. “On some applications of finite-state automata theory to natural language processing”. *Natural Language Engineering* **2**(1), 61–80, 1996. 1090
- Mohri, Mehryar. “Finite-State Transducers in Language and Speech Processing”. *Computational Linguistics* **23**(2), 269–311, 1997. 1090
- Olšák, Petr. *T_EXbook naruby (in Czech)*. Konvoj, Brno, 1997. 1087
- Pazdziora, Jan. “ $\text{T}_{\text{E}}\text{X}::\text{Hyphen}$ — hyphenate words using $\text{T}_{\text{E}}\text{X}$ ’s patterns”. [CPAN: modules/by-authors/Jan_Pazdziora/TeX-Hyphen-0.10.tar.gz](http://www.ctan.org/modules/by-authors/Jan_Pazdziora/TeX-Hyphen-0.10.tar.gz), 1997. 1087
- Plaice, John. “Progress in the Omega project”. *TUGboat* **15**(3), 320–324, 1994. 1089
- Plaice, John. “pdftex email discussion list”. <http://www.tug.org/archives/pdftex/msg01913.html>, 1998. 1085
- Plaice, John and Y. Haralambous. “The latest developments in Ω ”. *TUGboat* **17**(2), 181–183, 1996. 1089
- Raichle, Bernd. “Hyphenation patterns for words containing explicit hyphens”.
CTAN/language/hyphenation/hypht1.tex, 1997. 1087
- Rejzek, Jan. *Etymologický slovník českého jazyka (Etymological dictionary of Czech language)*. LEDA, Prague, Czech Republic, in preparation. 1088
- Roche, Emmanuel and Y. Schabes. *Finite-State Language Processing*. The MIT Press, 1996. 1090
- Skoupý, Karel. “ $\mathcal{N}\mathcal{T}\mathcal{S}$: a New Typesetting System”. *TUGboat* **18**(3), 318–322, 1998. 1085
- Sojka, Petr. “Notes on Compound Word Hyphenation in $\text{T}_{\text{E}}\text{X}$ ”. *TUGboat* **16**(3), 290–297, 1995. 1085, 1089
- Sojka, Petr and P. Ševeček. “Hyphenation in $\text{T}_{\text{E}}\text{X}$ —Quo Vadis?”. *TUGboat* **16**(3), 280–289, 1995. 1085, 1086, 1087
- Sutor, Robert S. and A. L. Díaz. “IBM techplorer: Scientific Publishing for the Internet”. *Cahiers Gutenberg* **28–29**, 295–308, 1998. 1085