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Abstract

The core of ConTExt development is focused on the textual aspects of typography in \TeX: many features are provided that easily compete with and surpass those of other high-level \TeX formats, like \LaTeX. Progress has lacked, though, on the field of mathematical typesetting; this talk presents a work-in-progress whose final purpose is that of bringing the feature of the most common and powerful mathematical packages in \LaTeX (American Mathematical Society and Nath) in the form of ConTExt modules, possibly with the addition of new features in the spirit of interactivity and graphical richness which is typical of the ConTExt textual features.

Introduction

One of the strongest points of ConTExt, that is its extensive capability to deal with text documents, which make it the most appropriate format for nontechnical writings, is also one of its weakest points: the development of text-based features has been done at the expense of mathematical capabilities, which have therefore made ConTExt less appealing in the technical/scientific environments, where \LaTeX is still the preferred format. The core math capabilities in ConTExt have in fact been for a long time barely superior to those of plain \TeX, making math cumbersome to type (at least for those coming from a \LaTeX background).

At a certain point, a ConTExt module was developed by Taco Hoekwater (m-math), which brought to ConTExt most of \LaTeX's environments, macros and math-font related stuff, with the plus of the features of a few extra packages (namely, breqn). The module made extensive changes to some core macros (especially font-related ones): when ConTExt underwent a thorough redesign (with a completely new font loading/selection mechanism, based on typescripts) the m-math module was effectively broken.

It is the writer's intention to bring powerful and easy math back in ConTExt; in particular we aim at:

1. providing the same functionality provided by (American Mathematical Society-)\LaTeX and Nath, possibly with as much ease even if not necessarily with the same syntax;
2. providing as much command compatibility as possible, so that compatible commands/environments are achieved with the same or very similar commands;
3. (low priority) adding new features without breaking anything, in the spirit of graphics and interactivity peculiar to ConTExt;
4. (low priority) ensuring that documents look the same (or as similar as possible) to those obtained with (American Mathematical Society-)\LaTeX when no extra ConTExt features are used (to customize section headings, itemizations, numbering, etc).

Project status

The major overhaul that broke the m-math provided the basis for a potentially augmented ConTExt math capability. Much work has been done to offer in ConTExt a symbolset as extensive as the \LaTeX one, and basic math environments have been provided. A new math module (m-newmat) has been developed, as a placeholder to add new features on a per-need basis.
Starting from this base, I’m developing two new packages: \texttt{t-amsl}, focused on American Mathematical Society-La\TeX{} compatibility, and \texttt{t-nath}, to bring the ease of use and power of \textit{Nath} (a package developed by Michal Marvan, presented at the Euro\TeX{}2001 conference, implementing NAtural ma\TeX{} notation) to Con\TeX{}t.

\textit{Nath} is almost fully implemented. This has been obtained by using the same source as the \La\TeX{} package itself, with due adaptation. Some interesting side-effects of the adaptation of \textit{Nath} to Con\TeX{}t were the discovery of a couple of bugs, and some format-independent work on robustness, both macro-wise (allowing for example extensible arrows in the sub- or superscript part of another extensible arrow) and engine-wise (making \textit{Nath} aware of \varepsilon\TeX{} and therefore allowing it to typeset more complex formulas, a job which requires a noticeably large amount of registers).

Work on the American Mathematical Society macros is much less extensive: it currently implements some basic environments (equation alignment and gathering) and some classic macros like \texttt{\textbackslash eqref}. It also provides some non-American Mathematical Society but important \La\TeX{} math environments like \texttt{array}; some of these may be moved to the \texttt{m-newmat} module in the future, to leave American Mathematical Society-specific macros only in the \texttt{t-amsl} module.

Finally, the module restores (in math mode) \La\TeX{}-style behavior of a few font-selection commands; selection mechanisms for such fonts were already present in Con\TeX{}t, but they were text-centric (quod erat demonstrandum) and therefore cumbersome to use in math mode; the \texttt{t-amsl} makes them again available in math mode as well, with the familiar \texttt{\textbackslash cal}, \texttt{\textbackslash frak} etc. command interface.

\textbf{Project future}

On the one hand, one might think that there is still much work to do, (re)implementing all the various \La\TeX{} and American Mathematical Society-\La\TeX{} environments; on the other hand we have to think about the level of compatibility we want between the packages.

As a first step, it is important to provide the same typesetting power, as easily as or more easily than in \La\TeX{}. For example, the advanced math typesetting features of \textit{Nath} make many of the American Mathematical Society environments unnecessary. We therefore prefer to concentrate on completing the port of \textit{Nath}, initially.

After this has been provided, and for the things which are not dealt with by \textit{Nath}, we will move to improving command compatibility with the American Mathematical Society-\La\TeX{} environments, so as to let the transition from one typesetting environment to the other be as smooth and painless as possible. If possibly, aesthetical compatibility will be preserved (or created as necessary), to allow Con\TeX{}t-typeset documents to be usable for standard journal submissions.

When choosing for compatibility with one system or the others, in some cases the \La\TeX{} way of doing things will be abandoned in favour of the Con\TeX{}t one, for things where the latter makes more sense or is easier to manipulate, from the user’s perspective and at the author’s opinion. For example, for theorem creation and management the rigid positional configuration options of American Mathematical Society-\La\TeX{} will be abandoned in favour of the dynamic, key/value configuration capabilities which are standard in Con\TeX{}t; the \La\TeX{} form might still be provided for compatibility, but this will have a lower priority.

Finally, new features might be included allow typesetting of more “Con\TeX{}tish” math formulas: features like interactive formulas (click to cycle through passages), or “hidden” explanatory passages that display in pop-up windows will be implemented (some of these are already in the works).