Automatic Creation of Efficient Type 1 Fonts from METAFONT Fonts

Hàn Thế Thành Vladimir Volovich

July 23, 2003

TUG 2003

Abstract

In this article I describe how I made the CM-Super fonts. These are Type 1 fonts converted from various METAFONT sources of Computer Modern font families. The fonts contain big number of glyphs covering writing in dozens of languages (Latin-based, Cyrillic-based, etc.) and provide outline replacements of original METAFONT fonts. The CM-Super fonts were produced by tracing the high resolution bitmaps generated from METAFONT fonts with the help of TeXtrace, optimizing and hinting the fonts with FontLab, and applying various cleanups and optimizations by Perl scripts.

The idea behind the CM-Super fonts

The Computer Modern (CM) fonts are the default and most commonly used text fonts with T_EX. Original CM fonts contain only basic Latin letters, and thus cover only English language. There are however a number of Computer Modern look-alike META-FONT fonts developed which cover other languages and scripts. Just to name a few:

- EC and TC fonts developed by Jörg Knappen which are the default \are TEX fonts for the T1 and TS1 font encodings and cover a lot of Latin-based scripts (mainly European).
- EC and TC Concrete and Bright fonts developed by Walter Schmidt—these are additional font families containing the same glyphs as EC and TC fonts.
- LH Cyrillic fonts developed by Olga Lapko. These fonts support the family of T2 font encodings: T2A,

T2B, T2C, X2, and also some other encodings, and they support the same font families as original EC fonts, EC Concrete and EC Bright fonts.

- TIPA (International Phonetic Alphabet) fonts developed by Rei Fukui. These fonts support the T3 font encoding. There exist Concrete (CIPA) and Bright (BIPA) families of the TIPA fonts too.
- FC fonts developed by Jörg Knappen. These fonts support the T4 font encoding for the African languages.
- VNR fonts developed by Cuong Nguyen, Werner Lemberg and Hàn Thế Thành. These fonts support the T5 font encoding for Vietnamese language.
- CBgreek fonts developed by Claudio Beccari. These fonts support the Greek font encoding (LGR).

There exists a free Type 1 version of the original CM fonts provided by BlueSky Research, Y&Y and the American Mathematical Society, but until not long

ago there were no free Type 1 versions of other "CM look-alike" fonts available, which limited their usage in PDF and PostScript target document formats. The CM-Super fonts were developed to cover this gap. Such conversion can be made using mainly two approaches: the first one is based on analytic analysis of METAFONT work (which may include "patching" the METAFONT program, analyzing the output of METAPOST, or similar approaches). Such approach can give (potentially) the most accurate results, but I did not yet use it, since it is much harder to develop (there are some commercial translators of METAFONT to Type 1 which I did not evaluate, mainly due to their "closeness", which i wanted to avoid). The second, straightforward, approach is based on tracing the highresolution bitmaps generated from the METAFONT sources by METAFONT, and thus obtaining outlined version of fonts. I was considering several approaches to convert the METAFONT fonts to Type 1 format it seems that the GNU fontutils package developed by Karl Berry is capable of this, but it had some problems with glyph positioning. Since the appearance of TeXtrace, it became very easy to do this. TeXtrace

is a free automatic converter of METAFONT fonts to Type 1 format, developed by Péter Szabó. It is based on Autotrace by Martin Weber. And, as far as I know, some code was taken from the GNU fontutils package.

It is possible to just generate Type 1 variants of all needed METAFONT fonts (each containing no more than 256 glyphs), but this will have disadvantages: the total number of files will be really big (several thousands), and also the total size of these fonts will be much bigger than possible, because of glyph duplication: Latin letters (and some other characters and glyphs) will be present in more than one font. E.g., the fonts ecrm1000 (T1 encoding), larm1000 (T2A), Ibrm1000 (T2B), Icrm1000 (T2C), fcr10 (T4), vnrm1000 (T5) are all of the same family and shape (Computer Modern Roman) and design size (10pt), and will thus contain at least the Latin alphabet duplicated. Given the total number of font files (several thousands), such duplication will give significant overhead.

Thus, it seems natural to try to combine all fonts which have the same font family, shape and design

size, and differ only by font encoding (set of supported glyphs) into *one* SuperFont (the name comes from Karl Berry's fontname package), which will contain all *different* glyphs from these fonts just once. Then it is possible to create map files for dvips, pdftex or other programs which will re-encode big super-fonts into particular 256-character font encoding, selecting the glyphs needed for some particular font encoding.

Such approach is undertaken in the CM-Super font package: each Type 1 SuperFont includes all glyphs from several METAFONT fonts which have the same font family, font shape and design size. Currently, only text font encodings are supported. The name "super" does not imply that this font collection contains Type 1 variants of all existing CM look-alike fonts (e.g., there are no glyphs from CM math fonts included into CM-Super), but that each font in this collection is a SuperFont supporting big number of glyphs, languages, and scripts. These SuperFonts can be used not only with T_EX applications.

Font encodings, families, shapes, and names

New Font Selection Scheme (NFSS) in LTEX serves as a very good classifying mechanism in the chaos of various fonts: each font is classified by it's encoding, family, shape and design size. The font encoding defines the set (and order) of glyphs which are contained in a font. Currently, the CM-Super font collection supports glyphs from the following LATEX font encodings: T1, TS1, T2A, T2B, T2C, X2. In the near future I'll try to add support of the following font encodings as well: T3, T4, T5, LGR, and some others (e.g. additional Cyrillic and Latin glyphs which are not already present in supported encodings).

Original CM fonts use font names like cmr10, cmbx12, etc., while their EC analogs use font names consisting of four letters, first two of which are always "ec" and second two denote font family and shape: ecrm1000, ecbx1200, etc. Design sizes are denoted in font names differently: EC fonts use 4-digit scheme,

while CM fonts use two digits. I've chosen to use font names of SuperFonts which follow the scheme used in EC fonts (with "sf" instead of "ec", "tc", etc.), since most METAFONT fonts included into the CM-Super package follow this naming scheme (EC, TC, LH).

Font families and shapes currently supported are (the README file in the CM-Super distribution contains detailed list):

- 1. 29 font shapes supported by EC/TC fonts. Each font shape comes in 14 font design sizes ranging from 5pt to 35.83pt (or 11 design sizes for typewriter font shapes ranging from 8pt to 35.83pt), giving $23 \cdot 14 + 6 \cdot 11 = 388$ font files;
- 13 font shapes for SliTeX (each comes in one design size);
- 14 fonts from Computer Modern Concrete family (font file names correspond to the scheme used in EC Concrete fonts, again with "sf" instead of "ec");

4. 19 fonts from Computer Modern Bright family (font file names correspond to the scheme used in European Computer Modern Bright fonts).

Thus, the total number of Type 1 font files included into CM-Super package is 434.

Each Type 1 font contains glyphs from several METAFONT fonts with the same font shape and design size. For example, sfrm1000.pfb combines unique glyphs from the following METAFONT fonts: ecrm1000.mf, tcrm1000.mf, larm1000.mf, lbrm1000.mf, lcrm1000.mf, rxrm1000.mf (for encodings T1, TS1, T2A, T2B, T2C, X2, respectively). In the future version, this font will also include glyphs from tipa10.mf, fcr10.mf, vnrm1000.mf, grmn1000 (for font encodings T3, T4, T5, LGR). Caps and small caps fonts do not include glyphs from TC fonts (TS1 font encoding), since there are no small caps TC fonts (but it may make sense to include glyphs from the TS1 encoded fonts into these fonts, for completeness, by duplicating glyphs from the corresponding non-smallcaps font shapes). Such approach gives big savings: if we were making separate Type 1 fonts for each of the above mentioned METAFONT fonts, we would need to store $256 \cdot 5 + 128 = 1408$ glyphs contained in ecrm1000.mf, tcrm1000.mf, larm1000.mf, lorm1000.mf, rxrm1000.mf; but sfrm1000.pfb contains only 585 unique glyphs including some glyphs which were added for completeness.

The CM-Super fonts come with AFM and INF files and are usable with non-T_EX-related applications as multilingual fonts.

Details on the process of making the CM-Super fonts

As has been said above, CM-Super fonts were made by tracing the high resolution bitmaps created by METAFONT. Below is a more detailed description of this process.

 First, I've created map files which contain each font shape per line corresponding to each META-FONT font which is to be traced. Each map file contained fonts for some particular font encoding (T1, TS1, T2A, T2B, T2C, X2). Also there was a map file to create a few additional glyphs (ellipsys and alernative variant of the sharp s).

Also, for each supported font encoding, I've created the encoding vector with standard glyph names following the Adobe Glyph List (AGL) cenventions (a few glyphs absent in the AGL and even in Unicode were named arbitratily).

- Now one can run the script traceall.sh from TeXtrace to make Type 1 fonts from each META-FONT font, using the map files and encoding vectors made on previous step.
- Now we need to combine these small Type 1 font files into super-fonts. First, we "disassemble" all of them using tldisasm from the tlutils package, to convert them into text format which is convenient for processing.

Then we can run the script which combines unique glyphs from the fonts with the same font shape and design size into one (disassembled) Type 1 font. This is done using the script which not only takes glyphs with unique names, but also checks that the glyphs with the same names from different fonts (e.g., the Latin letters) are represented identically.

• Since we combined several Type 1 fonts into one big font, the FontBBox parameter needs to be fixed. This is done using the pf2afm PostScript program from the GhostScript distribution.

- We also fix the isFixedPitch, ItalicAngle, Weight values using a script, since TeXtrace doesn't set them right. The value of ItalicAngle is extracted from the TFM file using the Font::TFM perl module.
- To make the resulting fonts smaller, we make some cleanups, like removing redundant "0 hmoveto" from glyph charstrings dictionary, setting the default font encoding to StandardEncoding, setting the value of lenIV parameter in the private dictionary to 0, etc.

Now we have "raw" Type 1 fonts which should be optimized (which is done later).

- Now we gather information contained in the TFM files (which are generated by METAFONT), and apply it to PFB files, and also create AFM font metric files. This involves several steps:
 - First, we extract kern values from each of the TFM files, using the script based on the Font::TFM perl module, and generate textual

representation of kerns which is used in AFM file format.

Then we combine the kern values from individual TFM files which correspond to the same font shape and design size (but differ by font encoding) into one big kern table. Note that while doing such combining, we always check that there no inconsistent kerns (for the same glyph pairs) in different fonts. A few such inconsistencies were indeed found;

- Now we'd like to correct glyph widths in the PFB files to use precise (non-integer) values which better match the values in the TFM files. These widths are generated using the best approximation (based on continued fractions) with the denominator not exceeding 107 to fit in 1 byte in CharString (giving space economy), and are stored using the div operator in the CharStrings. Apparently, such a subtle technique was used first in BSR/Y&Y CM fonts.

Again, we combine exact glyph widths obtained from different METAFONT fonts into glyph widths for SuperFonts. And finally, we fix the hsbw operators in the PFB files to use the calculated precise glyph widths.

- We extract font parameters (fontdimen values) from individual METAFONT fonts. They are converted to the corresponding values like Ascender, Descender, XHeight, CapHeight which are stored in the AFM files.
- The ligatures contained in the TFM files are also extracted and put into the AFM files.
- Finally, glyph bounding boxes are extracted from the PFB files using pf2afm, and all the pieces obtained in previous steps are combined into final AFM files for the CM-Super fonts.
- Now it's time to optimize the PFB files, since they contain a lot of "junk" control points and do not follow the rules which should be obeyed in good Type 1 fonts. This is the only step which is performed using commercial software: FontLab, but now it is also possible to use PFAedit which may give comparable results. The optimization consists of adding nodes at extremes, removing overlaps in contours, optimizing

contours (removing unnecessary control points, simplifying the contours), and also autohinting. We intentionally used only automatic optimization (in packet mode, without human interaction). The aim was to use *totally* automatic conversion of METAFONT fonts to Type 1 format, automatic optimization and hinting, with the best achievable quality of final Type 1 fonts, to be able to re-generate the fonts if necessary (e.g. when a new version of original METAFONT fonts will be released). Undoubtedly, there are fields for improvement of this approach, which we will use in future versions of the fonts.

- After passing the fonts through FontLab, we perform some cleanup again by disassembling, processing and assembling back the fonts.
- Finally, we create the INF files using a simple script.

The above description may seem a bit complicated at the first glance, but all steps are performed by running a few simple Perl scripts. Some of them may appear useful for wider application, so I'll put this into the distribution at some time.

Related works

Some packages were developed which may appear to be a useful addition to the CM-Super font package. First, it's the type1ec package which is analogous to the existing type1cm package and makes the EC-based fonts available at any size (as opposed to the set of the standard font sizes defined in the default LATEX font definition files). This will work efficiently since the CM-Super fonts are vector fonts and will be preloaded only at the few design sizes scaled appropriately. The second package, recently developed, is the cmap package which is to be used with pdfATEX and which "hooks" into the low-level LATEX font pickup command to preload the CMap resources for the fonts which are used in the document. This adds the searching and copying capabilities to the PDF files, by defining the "meaning" (unicode values) for the glyphs used in the document. At the moment this works only for Type 1 fonts, since pdfTFX was ignoring the \pdffontattr command for the Type 3 fonts, but it was fixed recently and it will be possible to make the files "searchable" even

if the document uses some bitmap fonts. Both these packages are available on CTAN.

Future work

There are a lot of ideas on improving and extending the CM-Super package. Unfortunately, i didn't have much time recently to work on it, but hopefully i'll move forward soon. Some of the ideas are written in the TODO file in the distribution. Most importand are:

- cover more fonts—support some other LaTEX font encodings: T3 (TIPA), T4 (African writings, FC fonts), T5 (Vietnamese fonts), LGR Greek font encoding (CB-Greek fonts), as well as some other fonts;
- make the fonts even more efficient (smaller) by using techniques similar to the ones described in Thanh's talk (putting glyphs and accents into subroutines, and constructing accented glyphs from them instead of putting the whole definition of accented glyph into the font);

• make an ultimate step forward to improve the quality of glyph shapes by using analytic transformation rather than tracing.

Acknowledgements

I am grateful to the following people who made this work possible: Hàn Thế Thành who inspired me to make a joint talk at TUG 2003 and who gave me a lot of ideas on improving the package and helped to understand some mechanisms (in particular, how to add the CMap entries into font dictionaries into PDF files); Peter Szabo and Martin Weber and Karl Berry who made it possible to easily generate Type 1 fonts from bitmaps; Yuri Yarmola from FontLab for providing a copy of FontLab; William Adams, Wendy McKay and Patricia Monohon for the help with corrections of this article and friendly helping before and during this conference.