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➢ Primarily an intellectual exercise
➢ But may be useful for graphics-intensive presentations which don’t use much text
➢ Slideshow provides support for this irritating style of bullet presentation
➢ And writes out some pdfmarks, which you would otherwise have to look up yourself
➢ Did I mention the intellectual exercise bit?
Current practice

- A presentation might have several components:
Current practice

- A presentation might have several components:
  - text prepared with LaTeX
Current practice

- A presentation might have several components:
  - text prepared with LaTeX
  - graphics prepared with metapost (okay, 2 components)
Current practice

- A presentation might have several components:
  - text prepared with LaTeX
  - graphics prepared with metapost (okay, 2 components)
  - which are combined with dvi processing software
Current practice

- A presentation might have several components:
  - text prepared with \LaTeX
  - graphics prepared with metapost (okay, 2 components)
  - which are combined with dvi processing software
  - the resulting postscript is viewable, but must be distilled into the presentation
Current practice

- A presentation might have several components:
  - text prepared with LaTeX
  - graphics prepared with metapost (okay, 2 components)
  - which are combined with dvi processing software
  - the resulting postscript is viewable, but must be distilled into the presentation
Current practice

- A presentation might have several components:
  - text prepared with LaTeX
  - graphics prepared with metapost (okay, 2 components)
  - which are combined with dvi processing software
  - the resulting postscript is viewable, but must be distilled into the presentation
Current practice

- A presentation might have several components:
  - text prepared with LaTeX
  - graphics prepared with metapost (okay, 2 components)
  - which are combined with dvi processing software
  - the resulting postscript is viewable, but must be distilled into the presentation
  - and it’s often post-processed to make it slick and professional-looking like this one
Current practice

- A presentation might have several components:
  - text prepared with LaTeX
  - graphics prepared with metapost (okay, 2 components)
  - which are combined with dvi processing software
  - the resulting postscript is viewable, but must be distilled into the presentation
  - and it’s often post-processed to make it slick and professional-looking like this one
The slideshow advantage

➤ With the slideshow macros:
The slideshow advantage

- With the slideshow macros:
  - Only one input format is possible
The slideshow advantage

- With the slideshow macros:
  - Only one input format is possible
  - Which converts rapidly into viewable output
The slideshow advantage

- With the slideshow macros:
  - Only one input format is possible
  - Which converts rapidly into viewable output
  - And then distills into the presentation
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- With the slideshow macros:
  - Only one input format is possible
  - Which converts rapidly into viewable output
  - And then distills into the presentation
  - From which no post-processing is needed, since there are no post-processors supporting these macros
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- Metapost doesn’t handle text very well
- It’s difficult to include non-metapost graphics (e.g., bit-maps)
- There’s no provision for producing print-only versions of the information
- There’s no concept of presentation styles
- It generally requires some configuration of ghostscript and metapost, especially if you use math
- The other methods for producing presentations using TeX-family tools aren’t as complicated as I suggested
  - I personally use my own plain-TeX style with just TeX, metapost, and dvipdfm
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- Measurably less complex than metaobj
- Small and simple, so shouldn’t conflict with too many truly useful metapost packages
- The ability to build up drawings can be helpful
- And so are the pdfmarks
- It’s useful for cases where LaTeX is used as a framework for a bunch of metapost slides
- So it was worth uploading to CTAN, but it’s not going to change the world
Thanks for sticking to the end. Click on this text to start over.