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1 Introduction

Converting Science, Technology, Engineering, and Mathematics (STEM) documents to formal expressions has a large impact on academic and industrial society. It enables us to construct databases of mathematical knowledge, search for formulae, and develop a system that generates executable code.

However, such conversion is an exceedingly ambitious goal. Mathematical expressions are commonly used in scientific communication in numerous fields such as mathematics and physics, and in many cases, they express key ideas in STEM documents. Despite the importance of mathematical expressions, formulae and texts are complementary to each other, and neither can be understood independently. Thus, deep synthetic analyses on natural language and mathematical expressions are necessary.

To date, much effort has been made for developing Natural Language Processing (NLP) techniques, including semantic parsing (SP) [4], but their targets are mostly ‘general’ texts. Naturally, conventional NLP techniques include only limited features to treat formulae and numerous linguistic phenomena specific to STEM documents [3].

Meanwhile, semantics on mathematical expressions also has been deeply investigated. Such results can be seen in logic theories, the MathML specification [1], etc. However, there is a large distance between formal expressions such as first-order logic and actual formulae in natural language texts.

2 Research goals

There is substantial work remaining to achieve conversion from STEM documents to a computational form (Figure 1). At first, we are going to focus on the two foundational parts for the synthetic analyses. The first is token-level analyses on formulae. The main part of the analyses is associating formulae tokens to mathematical objects and text fragments (Section 2.1). This is a fundamental step for the conversion, but it is still almost untouched. The second step is the morphology of mathematical expression and semantics covering both formulae and texts (Section 2.2). Studying underlying theories is essential to deeply understand the structure of STEM documents. We aim for practical applications via a bottom-up approach.

∗ A version of this extended abstract was published at the Doctoral Programme of CICM 2019.
investigate a synthetic semantics covering both of texts and formulae.

Though morphology has been studied for natural languages, this is not so much the case for formulae. As a matter of fact, in terms of morphology, *words* also exist in formulae. For instance, a token $M$ is a word in “Matrix $M$”, but $M$ is not a word in “An entry $M_{i,j}$” ($M_{i,j}$ is a word). Unlike morphemes in natural language, tokens in formulae do not have lexical categories, but some symbols (e.g., parentheses and equal sign) and positional information (e.g., super/subscript) have typical usages.

### 3 Completed and remaining research

For the beginning of our research, we simplified the detection task which we described in Section 2.1. Specifically, we are making annotations on some research papers in the following manner:

1. Detecting minimal groups of tokens (we call them *chunks*), each referring to a mathematical object (chunking).
2. Categorizing chunks by the mathematical object they referring to.

This annotation (*pilot annotation*) is the fundamental process for creating the first gold dataset for associating tokens and mathematical objects. The annotated data will also be helpful for investigating the morphology on mathematical expressions.

In other words, we defined a classification task before annotating descriptions for formulae tokens. Since there are many ways to describe a mathematical object, this classification can be done more coherently through the pilot annotation. Moreover, we are expecting that the classification is naturally rather easier to be automated than giving descriptions automatically in the first attempt.

Besides the pilot annotation, all the jobs that have to be done to achieve our goal remain. For the next step, we are planning to automate the annotation process by using features such as apposition nouns and syntactic information in formulae. At the same time, we have to decide the form of mathematical objects. For now, we can say that every mathematical object should have a description and some attributes such as types (e.g., int and float).

What attributes are necessary and sufficient is still not clear, and we will find out after trying the annotation for several documents.

### 4 Publication plans and evaluation plans

Currently, we are creating a new language resource as the pilot annotation, and we are planning to publish it for the community of language resources. For the further future, we will develop automation algorithms for mathematical object detection, which are suitable for NLP and the digital mathematical library community, including CICM. The analyses on underlying morphology and semantics are more like works in computational linguistics.

For the initial dataset, it is better to ensure agreement among a few experts, if possible. Subsequent progress on developing algorithms and analyses on linguistic phenomena should be evaluated with our handmade gold datasets.
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