## Bibliography Prettyprinting and Syntax Checking

Nelson H. F. Beebe Center for Scientific Computing Department of Mathematics University of Utah Salt Lake City, UT 84112 USA Tel: +1 801 581 5254

Tel: +1 801 581 5254 FAX: +1 801 581 4148

Internet: beebe@math.utah.edu

## Abstract

This paper describes three significant software tools for BBTEX support. The first, bibclean, is a prettyprinter, syntax checker, and lexical analyzer for BBTEX files. The second is biblex, a lexical analyzer capable of tokenizing a BBTEX file. The third is bibparse, a parser that analyzes a lexical token stream from bibclean or biblex.

The current BmTeX implementation (0.99) is based on a vague and ambiguous grammar; that situation *must* be remedied in the 1.0 version under development. Rigorous lexical analyzer and parser grammars are presented in literate programming style, and implemented as biblex and bibparse using modern software tools to automatically generate the programs from the grammars. bibclean also implements these grammars, but with hand-coded parsers that permit it to apply heuristics for better error detection and recovery.

Extensions of the current BIBTEX for comments, file inclusion, a Periodical bibliography entry, and ISSN and ISBN entry fields, are proposed and supported in these tools.

The impact of much larger character sets is treated, and grammatical limitations are introduced to ensure that an international portability nightmare does not accompany the move to these character sets.

bibclean is extensively customizable, with system-wide and user-specific initialization files, and run-time-definable patterns for checking BETEX value strings. A customized pattern-matching language is provided for this purpose. bibclean can also be compiled to use regular-expression patterns, or none at all.

All code is written in the C programming language, and has been tested for portability with more than 40 C and C++ compilers on several major operating systems. The distribution includes a large suite of torture tests to check new implementations. It is not necessary for installation to have the lexical analyzer and parser generator tools that process the grammars; their output code is included in the distribution.

The complete paper is too long for the TUG'93 Conference Proceedings issue; it will instead appear in the next issue of *TUGboat*.